

Historic District Commission Meeting
Thursday July 27, 2017 7:00pm
City Hall, Council Chambers

MINUTES
Approved 8/24/2017

Mrs. Kenniston called the meeting to order at 7:00pm

I. Roll Call

Members Present: Kristin Kenniston, Richard Wahrlich, Abigail Kier

Absent: David Messier, Scott Pope

II. Review of Minutes from June 22, 2017

Corrections: None

Motion: To accept the minutes of June 22, 2017

Made by: Mr. Wahrlich **Second:** Abigail Kier

III. Old Business

IV. New Business

A. Certificate of Appropriateness application HDC 2017-00003 Tami Joslin, 96 Old Newport Road for a 12 sqft sign affixed sign at 10 Gidden Street. Tax Map 120, Lot 90, Zone: MU.

Mrs. Kenniston read the public notice.

Ms. Joslin explained she is opening a bakery at 10 Gidden Street (formerly Elaiani's Greek Cuisine) and is seeking approval for a 1.5 x 8 ft suspended sign. The sign will be made of wood, it will be white with black letting/graphics and will be coated for waterproofing. The sign will be affixed to the building where the previous sign was and will be approximately the same size. There is no plan to add any additional lighting as the current lighting present is adequate as they will not be open past 6pm.

The commission had no further questions.

Mr. Wahrlich read the architectural inventory sheet.

This building is of the lowest rating, 0.

HDC Criteria	
1. Does the building have historic, architectural or cultural value?	As previously stated, this building has the lowest rating on 0.
2. Are the proposed exterior design, arraignment, textures, and materials	Everyone agreed that this criterion was not applicable to this application.

compatible with the existing buildings or structures ad to the setting and surrounding uses?	
3. Are the scale and size proposed improvements compatible with the existing surroundings? (including height, width, street frontage, number of stories, roof type, façade openings such as windows, doors, etc., ad architectural details)	Everyone agreed that this criterion was not applicable to this application.
4. How will the proposed improvements (signs, lights, yards, off-street parking, screening, fencing, entrance drive, sidewalks, and landscaping) affect the character of any building or structure within the district?	It is an attractive sign that is roughly the same size and will have roughly the same placement as the previous sign. It will signify a new business and interest and will not detract or affect the character of any building.
5. What impact will the proposal have to the setting? To what extent will the proposal help to preserve and enhance the historic, architectural, ad cultural qualities of the district and the community?	Having the business there and a sign to signify that will have a positive impact on the neighborhood. It will help to enhance the setting and is compatible with the area.
6. Is the proposal in keeping with the Secretary of Interior’s Guidelines for Rehabilitation?	Everyone agreed that this criterion was not applicable to this application.

Having had positive effects on the pertinent parts of the application ad the process Mrs. Kenniston called for a motion to approve this application.

Motion: To approve this application

Made by: Mr. Wahrlich **Second:** Abigail Kier

Vote: Unanimous in favor

V. Other

There was no other business

VI. Correspondence

There was no correspondence

VII. Adjournment

Motion: To adjourn meeting

Made by: Mr. Wahrlich **Second:** Abigail Kier

Vote: Unanimous in favor

Meeting adjourned at 7:05pm